

AESO INFORMATION REQUESTS TO ADC

AESO.ADC-001

Reference: Direct Testimony and Schedules of Alan Rosenberg – Page 37, Lines 19-20

“Consequently the phenomenon of coincidence surely plays some role, while contract demand plays no role in cost causation.”

Request:

- (a) Does ADC agree that load forecasts are used in transmission planning? Please explain your response.
- (b) Does ADC agree that load forecasts are based on a number of factors including contractual obligations? Please explain your response.

AESO.ADC-002

Reference: Direct Testimony and Schedules of Alan Rosenberg – Page 38, Lines 6-11 and 22

“For example, the AESO supplied raw data on the line loadings for 74 240 kV bulk transmission lines in 2005 in Appendix D to the AESO 2007 GTA posted on the AESO website. Our analysts plotted all the hours during the Summer period (months April through September), as well as all hours during the Winter period (months October through March) when each line was at 90 percent or more of its annual peak loading.”

“The results are shown on Exhibit AR, Schedule 6.”

Request:

Please provide similar analysis and results as in the referenced exhibit for line loadings in 2004, including similar graphs.

AESO.ADC-003

Reference: Direct Testimony and Schedules of Alan Rosenberg – Page 41, Lines 13-14.

“The principal reason why the analysis is not probative is because it takes no account of contingency planning.”

Request:

- (a) Please fully explain how a coincident peak demand allocation methodology takes account of contingency planning.
- (b) The AESO’s analysis was based on actual metered data uncompensated for contingencies. Does ADC agree that such an analysis would therefore include the effect of contingencies as they actually occur on the transmission system? Please explain your response.

AESO.ADC-004

Reference: Direct Testimony and Schedules of Alan Rosenberg – Page 46, Lines 3-4.

“First, the customer should have the ability to choose between a lump sum payment and simply continuing to pay the DTS tariff for the length of the notification period.”

Request:

- (a) Please confirm that Article 14.3 of the AESO’s currently-approved terms and conditions of service states that a customer “may choose to pay out the Contract Capacity as a lump sum payment.”
- (b) Does this current provision satisfy the recommended “ability to choose” in the referenced evidence? If not, please explain why not?

AESO.ADC-005

Reference: Direct Testimony and Schedules of Alan Rosenberg – Page 46, Lines 8-11.

“Second, for the case of a customer that discontinues service, such payments should be made based upon the tariff in effect at the time notification was given. This would place the two options (either making a lump sum payment on the one hand, or continuing to pay the bill on the other) more on an equal footing.”

Request:

- (a) Please confirm that ADC recommends that a customer giving notice should be protected from tariff changes for system access service provided to the customer over the course of the notice period. If so, please fully explain ADC’s rationale for such protection.
- (b) Please fully explain how a customer paying for system access service who is protected from tariff changes is “on an equal footing” with other customers paying for such service who are subject to the tariff in effect at the time service is received.