



Stakeholder Comparison Comment Rationale Matrix

2010-07-22

AESO AUTHORITATIVE DOCUMENT PROCESS

Alberta Reliability Standard – PRC-017-AB-0 Remedial Action Scheme Maintenance and Testing

NOTE: The AESO is asking market participants to give an initial indication of their support for, or opposition to, the specific Alberta Reliability Standard variances to the NERC requirements referenced below. Such an initial indication assists in the AESO's practical understanding of the receptivity of the industry to the proposed changes, and in that regard the AESO thanks, in advance, all market participants who choose to respond. With regard to the specific standard changes and their implications, such responses are without prejudice to the rights of market participants under the Act, any regulations, or related decisions of the Commission.

Date of Request for Comment [yyyy/mm/dd]: <u>2010/07/22</u>	Contact: <u>Jerry Mossing</u>
Period of Consultation [yyyy/mm/dd]: <u>2010/07/22</u> through <u>2010/08/27</u>	Phone: <u>403-539-2496</u>
Comments From: <u>TransCanada Energy Ltd. (TransCanada)</u>	E-mail: <u>ars_comments@aesocanada.com</u>
Date [yyyy/mm/dd]: <u>2010/08/27</u>	

Listed below is the summary of changes for the proposed new, removed or amended sections of the standard. Please refer back to the Letter of Notice under the "Attachments to Letter of Notice" section to view the proposed content changes to the standard. Please double-click on the check box for either "Support" or "Oppose" and/or place your comments, reasons for position, and alternate proposals underneath (if any).

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
<p>Purpose To ensure that all Special Protection Systems (SPS) are properly designed, meet performance requirements, and are coordinated with other protection systems. To ensure that maintenance and testing programs are developed and misoperations are analyzed and corrected.</p>	<p>Purpose The purpose of this reliability standard is to ensure adequate maintenance and testing of RASs and equipment integral to RASs.</p>	<p>Clarified the purpose to align with the content of the reliability standard.</p>		
<p>Applicability 4.1. Transmission Owner that owns an SPS 4.2. Generator Owner that owns an SPS 4.3. Distribution Provider that owns an SPS</p>	<p>Applicability This reliability standard applies to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TFOs that own a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS that is listed as either an AB RAS or WECC RAS in the ISO RAS database as published on the AESO website, and as may be amended from time to time by the AESO on notice to market participants. GFOs that own a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS that is listed as either an AB RAS or WECC RAS in the ISO RAS database as published on the AESO website, and as may be amended from time to time by the AESO on notice to market participants. WOs that own a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS that 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Amended to identify the responsible entities in Alberta.</p> <p>Identified the remedial action scheme classification that applies to this standard and referenced the ISO RAS database that identifies the classification of each remedial action scheme.</p> <p>The Alberta Reliability Standards section of the AESO website, and in particular the section that contains this reliability standard, will contain reference to the ISO RAS database. The ISO RAS database is currently available on the AESO website,</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p>As TransCanada has discussed with the AESO, there is considerable uncertainty and confusion in the industry with respect to the TFO classification or the recently introduced “legal owner of a transmission facility” or “operator of a transmission facility” .</p> <p>Until this is resolved TransCanada cannot support the development or approval of any further reliability standards that are applicable to TFOs or legal owners or operators of a transmission facility. TransCanada</p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
	<p>is listed as either an AB RAS or WECC RAS in the ISO RAS database as published on the AESO website, and as may be amended from time to time by the AESO on notice to market participants.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the ISO 	<p>under current Alberta Reliability Standard PRC-001-AB-1 Protection System Coordination.</p>	<p>recommends that the AESO initiate a process engaging all stakeholders to resolve this issue.</p>	
<p>Effective Date April 1, 2005</p>	<p>Effective Date One hundred and eighty (180) days after the date of approval by the Commission.</p>	<p>To allow a reasonable amount of time for Alberta entities to implement this Alberta Reliability Standard.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p><i>Insert comments, reason for position, and alternate proposal (if any).</i></p>	
<p>R1. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an SPS shall have a system maintenance and testing program(s) in place. The program(s) shall include:</p> <p>R1.1. SPS identification shall include but is not limited to: R1.1.1. Relays. R1.1.2. Instrument transformers. R1.1.3. Communications systems, where appropriate. R1.1.4. Batteries.</p>	<p>R1 Each TFO, GFO, and WO, or any combination thereof, that owns a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS must have RAS maintenance and testing program(s) in place. These programs must:</p> <p>R1.1 identify the equipment integral to each RAS including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> relays; instrument transformers; communications systems, where appropriate; batteries; and 	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Amended for clarity and consistency.</p> <p>Alberta Variance: In order to capture all components that make up a remedial action scheme, the identification of other equipment as required for the remedial action scheme to function was added to the list of items in requirement R1.1.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p><i>Insert comments, reason for position, and alternate proposal (if any).</i></p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
<p>R1.2. Documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and their basis.</p> <p>R1.3. Summary of testing procedure.</p> <p>R1.4. Schedule for system testing.</p> <p>R1.5. Schedule for system maintenance.</p> <p>R1.6. Date last tested/maintained.</p>	<p>other equipment as required for the RAS to function.</p> <p>R1.2 have documentation of maintenance and testing intervals and the basis for setting these intervals</p> <p>R1.3 have a summary of testing procedures for each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1</p> <p>R1.4 have a schedule for testing RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1</p> <p>R1.5 have a schedule(s) for maintaining RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1</p> <p>R1.6 identify the date the RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1 was last tested and maintained</p>			
	<p>R2 Each TFO, GFO, and WO that owns a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS must, as of the effective date of this reliability standard, maintain and test each RAS or components of</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Added to for clarity that</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
	a RAS in accordance with its maintenance and testing program(s) as required by requirement R1.	implementation of maintenance and testing programs for RAS is to occur on a go forward basis from the effective date of this reliability standard.	TransCanada appreciates the AESO's intent to clarify that this standard will not impose requirements prior to its effective date however this should not be done by introducing another requirement. Instead this should be clearly detailed in the "Effective Date" section of the standard.	
<p>R2. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an SPS shall provide documentation of the program and its implementation to the appropriate Regional Reliability Organizations and NERC on request (within 30 calendar days).</p>	<p>R3 Each TFO, GFO, and WO that owns a RAS or equipment integral to a RAS must provide documentation of the existence and implementation of its RAS maintenance and testing program(s) as required in requirement R1 within twenty (20) days of receiving a written request from the ISO.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Alberta Variance: Sub-requirement R3 amended for Alberta participants to provide documentation to the ISO as the compliance monitor for TFOs, WOs and demand customers and upon receiving a written request from the ISO.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p>The requirement of providing the documentation within twenty days is more onerous than the NERC standard which allows thirty days. There is no reason for Alberta to make the standards more strict than the NERC versions. This stricter requirement creates additional work and risk for Alberta entities. "Twenty (20) days" should be changed to "thirty (30) days".</p>	
	<p>R4 The ISO must maintain and publish a list of all RASs to which this reliability standard applies.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Alberta Variance: Sub-requirement</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
		R4 added for the ISO to maintain and publish a list of relevant remedial action schemes so that the Alberta entities were notified of which requirements applied to which remedial action schemes.	<i>Insert comments, reason for position, and alternate proposal (if any).</i>	
<p>M1. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an SPS shall have a system maintenance and testing program(s) in place that includes all items in Reliability Standard PRC-017-0_R1.</p>	<p>MR1 Written RAS maintenance and testing program(s) exists and includes all the elements as identified in sub-requirements of requirement R1.</p> <p>MR1.1 A written RAS maintenance and testing program exists that specifies all equipment as identified in requirement R1.1.</p> <p>MR1.2. A written RAS maintenance and testing program exists which specifies maintenance and testing intervals and the basis for setting those intervals.</p> <p>MR1.3 A summary of testing procedures exists in the written RAS maintenance and testing program that specifies the test procedures for each type of equipment identified in sub-requirement, R1.1.</p> <p>MR1.4 A written RAS maintenance</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Amended to align with requirement R1.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p><i>Insert comments, reason for position, and alternate proposal (if any).</i></p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
	<p>and testing program includes testing schedules for each RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1.</p> <p>MR1.5 A written RAS maintenance and testing program includes maintenance schedules for each RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1.</p> <p>MR1.6 Evidence exists of the date the RAS and each type of equipment identified in requirement R1.1 was last tested and maintained.</p>			
	<p>MR2 All records of RAS testing and maintenance, created after the effective date of this reliability standard exist and are complete, accurate and consistent with the maintenance and testing program(s) as required in requirement R1.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Added to align with requirement R2.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p>See comments to R2.</p>	
<p>M2. The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that owns an SPS shall have evidence it provided documentation of the program and its implementation to the appropriate Regional Reliability Organizations and NERC on request (within 30 calendar days).</p>	<p>MR3 Confirmation that documentation of the existence and implementation of the RAS maintenance and testing program(s) was provided within the timelines specified in requirement R3.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted</p> <p>Amended to align with requirement R3.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose</p> <p>The requirement of “confirmation” is much more onerous than the NERC standard which only requires “evidence”. There is no</p>	

**COMPARISON BETWEEN NERC PRC-017-0 AND ALBERTA PRC-017-AB-0
REMEDIAL ACTION SCHEME MAINTENANCE AND TESTING**

NERC PRC-017-0	Alberta PRC-017-AB-0	AESO Reason for Difference	Stakeholder Comments	AESO Replies
			reason for Alberta to make the standards more strict than the NERC versions. This stricter requirement creates additional work and risk for Alberta entities. Confirmation should be changed to evidence.	
	MR4 A list exists and is published that identifies all RASs as required in requirement R4.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Amended <input type="checkbox"/> Deleted Added to align with requirement R4.	<input type="checkbox"/> Support <input type="checkbox"/> Support with language suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose <i>Insert comments, reason for position, and alternate proposal (if any).</i>	
Compliance To view the compliance section D of the NERC reliability standard follow this link: http://www.nerc.com/files/PRC-017-0.pdf		The Alberta reliability standards do not contain a compliance section. Compliance with all Alberta reliability standards is completed in accordance with the Alberta Reliability Standards Compliance Monitoring Program, available on the AESO website at: http://www.aeso.ca/loadsettlement/17189.html .		
Regional Differences None identified.	None identified.	Not applicable in Alberta		

Definitions	Comments	Rationale and/or Alternate Proposal
(a) New NA		
(b) Removals N/A		
(c) Amendments N/A		