

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |   |   |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0  | Reason for Difference   |
| <b>Purpose</b>  | System simulations and associated assessments are needed periodically to ensure that reliable systems are developed that meet specified performance requirements with sufficient lead time, and continue to be modified or upgraded as necessary to meet present and future system needs. | <u>The purpose of this reliability standard is to ensure that a reliable transmission system is planned that meets</u> specified performance requirements, with sufficient lead time. <u>The transmission system must continue to be modified or upgraded to</u> meet present and future system needs <u>by</u> periodically <u>performing system</u> simulations and associated assessments. | Change to writing style.  |
| <b>Applicability</b>  | 4.1 Planning Authority<br>4.2 Transmission Planner  | <u>ISO</u>  | Identify the responsible entity in Alberta.<br><br><b>Alberta Variance:</b> <sup>1</sup><br>To identify the facilities this standard applies to, including 69kV and 72 kV facilities. |
| <b>Effective Date</b>   |   | 365 calendar days after the date of approval by the Commission.   |   |
| <b>Definitions</b>  |   | <u>Italicized terms used in this reliability standard have the meanings as set out in the Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary and in Part 1 of the ISO Rules</u> <sup>2</sup> .  | Identify defined terms in the ISO Rules.  |
| <b>Requirement</b>  | <b>R1.</b> The Planning Authority and   | <b>R1</b> The ISO must demonstrate through a  | <b>Alberta Variance</b> <sup>1</sup> :  |

<sup>1</sup> An Alberta variance is a change from the US Reliability Standard that the AESO has determined is material.

<sup>2</sup> Defined terms are not italicized in this document, but will appear in the Alberta Reliability Standards document.

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |  |  |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0   | Reason for Difference  |
|   | Transmission Planner shall each demonstrate through a valid assessment that its portion of the interconnected transmission system is planned such that, with all transmission facilities in service and with normal (pre-contingency) operating procedures in effect, the Network can be operated to supply projected customer demands and projected Firm (non-recallable reserved) Transmission Services at all Demand levels over the range of forecast system demands, under the conditions defined in Category A of Table I. To be considered valid, the Planning Authority and Transmission Planner assessments shall: | valid planning assessment that <a href="#">a transmission system</a> is planned such that, with all transmission facilities in service and with pre-contingency operating procedures in effect, the transmission system can be operated to accommodate projected customer demands, supply and projected firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission services at all demand levels over the range of forecast system demands, under the conditions defined in Category A of Appendix 1. To be considered valid, the ISO planning assessment must: | <b>R1</b> Revised to include the accommodation of generation supply in accordance with Alberta legislation.<br><br>Changed passive term “shall” to “must”. |
| <b>Requirement</b>  | <b>R1.1.</b> Be made annually.  | <b>R1.1.</b> Be <b>carried out</b> annually.   |  |
|   | <b>R1.2.</b> Be conducted for near-term (years one through five) and longer-term (years six through ten) planning horizons.   | <b>R1.2</b> Be conducted for near term (year one through five) and longer term (year six through ten) planning horizons.   |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.</b> Be supported by a current or past study and/or system simulation testing that addresses each of the following categories, showing system performance following Category A of Table 1 (no contingencies). The specific elements selected (from each of the following categories) shall be acceptable to the associated Regional   | <b>R1.3</b> Be supported by a current or past study and/or system simulation testing that addresses each of the following (requirements R1.3.1 to R1.3.9), showing system performance in accordance with Category A Appendix 1 (no contingencies).   | <b>R1.3</b> A reference to the RRO is not required.  |

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |  |  |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0   | Reason for Difference  |
|   | Reliability Organization(s).  |  |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.1.</b> Cover critical system conditions and study years as deemed appropriate by the entity performing the study.                                   | <b>R1.3.1</b> Cover critical system conditions and study years as <b>considered necessary</b> by the ISO.  | <b>R1.3.1</b> The ISO is the only responsible entity.  |
|   | <b>R1.3.2.</b> Be conducted annually unless changes to system conditions do not warrant such analyses.  | <b>R1.3.2</b> Be conducted annually unless changes to system conditions do not warrant such analyses.  |  |
| <b>Requirement</b>  | <b>R1.3.3.</b> Be conducted beyond the five-year horizon only as needed to address identified marginal conditions that may have longer lead-time solutions. | <b>R1.3.3</b> Be conducted beyond the five year horizon only as needed to address identified marginal conditions that may have longer lead time solutions. |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.4.</b> Have established normal (pre-contingency) operating procedures in place.   | <b>R1.3.4</b> Have established pre-contingency operating procedures in place.  |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.5.</b> Have all projected firm transfers modeled.   | <b>R1.3.5</b> Have all projected firm transfers modeled, <b>if any.</b>  |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.6.</b> Be performed for selected demand levels over the range of forecast system demands  | <b>R1.3.6</b> Be performed for selected demand levels over the range of forecast system demands <b>as considered necessary by the ISO.</b>                 |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.7.</b> Demonstrate that system performance meets Table 1 for Category A (no contingencies).   | <b>R1.3.7</b> Demonstrate that system performance meets Category A (no contingencies) of Appendix 1.   |  |
|   | <b>R1.3.8.</b> Include existing and planned facilities.   | <b>R1.3.8</b> Include existing and planned facilities as <b>considered necessary</b> by the ISO.   | <b>R1.3.8</b> To clarify the entity responsible for determining planned facilities to be included. |
|   | <b>R1.3.9.</b> Include Reactive Power resources to ensure that adequate reactive resources  | <b>R1.3.9</b> Include <b>r</b> eactive <b>p</b> ower resources to ensure that adequate reactive resources are  |  |

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |  |  |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0   | Reason for Difference                  |
|   | are available to meet system performance.   | available to meet system performance.  |  |
|   | <b>R1.4.</b> Address any planned upgrades needed to meet the performance requirements of Category A.  | <b>R1.4</b> Address any planned upgrades needed to meet the performance requirements of Category A.  |  |
| <b>Measure</b>  | <b>M1.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall have a valid assessment and corrective plans as specified in Reliability Standard TPL-001-0_R2.1 and TPL-001-0_R2.2. | <p>The following measures correspond to the requirements identified in Section 5 of this reliability standard. For example, MR1 is the measure for R1.</p> <p>These measures will be used by the ISO in carrying out its compliance monitoring duties in accordance with ISO rule 12. The ISO may consider other data and information, including any provided by a market participant.</p> <p><b>MR1.</b> The planning assessment will be valid and meet requirement in R1 through <u>the following</u> measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The scope of the planning assessment identifies where area studies have been conducted in the past year. <u>It also identifies</u> area studies that have been conducted in <u>previous</u> years and are still <u>valid</u>. Where area studies have not been conducted, a plan and schedule to conduct one is included in the planning</li> </ul> | Expanded Measures to be more specific. |

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0<br>System Performance Under Normal Conditions |                |   |                       |
|---|----------------|---|-----------------------|
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0 | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0  | Reason for Difference |
|   |                | <p>assessment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The planning assessment includes time horizons as specified in R1.2</li> <li>The planning assessment <u>has been prepared</u> within the last year.</li> <li>A certification that the planning assessment complies with each of the R1 technical requirements is provided and states that the planning assessment meets all requirements, identifies requirements not met, and states reasons where the requirement was not met.</li> <li>A summary list of supporting area studies and needs identification documents is provided. The summary list includes the title and date of the study. The area studies and needs identification documents <u>are</u> provided if requested.</li> </ul> |                       |

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |  |   |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0   | Reason for Difference   |
| Requirement   | R2. When system simulations indicate an inability of the systems to respond as prescribed in Reliability Standard TPL-001-0_R1, the Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each: | R2 When system simulations indicate an inability of the systems to respond as <b>set out in R1.3.7</b> in <b>this</b> reliability standard, the ISO must:                            | R2 To clarify the entity responsible for determining planned facilities to be included.<br><br>Changed passive term “shall” to “must”.                    |
|   | R2.1. Provide a written summary of its plans to achieve the required system performance as described above throughout the planning horizon.   | R2.1 <b>Develop</b> corrective plans to achieve the required system performance as described above throughout the planning horizon.  | R2.1 Clarify that “corrective” plans is what is being referred to. Removed written summary as corrective plans are in the documents as identified in MR2. |
|   | R2.1.1. Including a schedule for implementation.  | R2.1.1 Including a schedule for implementation.  |   |
|   | R2.1.2. Including a discussion of expected required in-service dates of facilities.   | R2.1.2 Including a discussion of expected required in-service dates of facilities.   |   |
|   | R2.1.3. Consider lead times necessary to implement plans.   | R2.1.3 Consider lead times necessary to implement corrective plans.  |   |
|   | R2.2. Review, in subsequent annual assessments, (where sufficient lead time exists), the continuing need for identified system facilities. Detailed implementation plans are not needed.    | R2.2 Review in subsequent annual assessments, where sufficient lead time exists, the continuing need for identified system facilities. Detailed implementation plans are not needed. |   |

Formatted Table

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |  |   |   |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0   | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0  | Reason for Difference   |
| Measure   |  | <b>MR2</b> The area studies and <u>needs identification documents</u> contain recommendations and projects <u>for corrective plans</u> where an inability of the systems to respond to requirements specified in R1 has been identified. The area studies and needs identification documents <u>are</u> provided on request. The area studies and needs identification documents contain the technical components as specified in R2 and its subsections. | Expanded measures to be more specific.  |
| Requirement   | <b>R3.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each document the results of these reliability assessments and corrective plans and shall annually provide these to its respective NERC Regional Reliability Organization(s), as required by the Regional Reliability Organization. | <b>R3</b> The ISO must provide the planning assessment to WECC (as the RE) on an annual basis.  | Identify responsible entity in Alberta and the reporting relationship.<br><br>Changed passive term “shall” to “must”. |
| Measure   | <b>M2.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall have evidence it reported documentation of results of its Reliability Assessments and corrective plans per Reliability Standard TPL-001-0_R3.   | <b>MR3</b> A written or email confirmation from WECC (as the RE) that it has received the planning assessment from the ISO. The confirmation includes the date <u>of when</u> the planning assessment was received and source identification information.   | To reflect responsible entity in Alberta.   |
| Procedures  |  |   |   |

| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 |   |                      |   |
|---|---|----------------------|---|
| System Performance Under Normal Conditions                                    |   |                      |   |
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 | Reason for Difference   |
| Compliance  | <p><b>D. Compliance</b></p> <p><b>1. Compliance Monitoring Process</b></p> <p><b>1.1. Compliance Monitoring Responsibility</b><br/>Compliance Monitor: Regional Reliability Organization. Each Compliance Monitor shall report compliance and violations to NERC via the NERC Compliance Reporting Process.</p> <p><b>1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Timeframe</b><br/>Annually</p> <p><b>1.3. Data Retention</b><br/>None specified.</p> <p><b>1.4. Additional Compliance Information</b></p> <p><b>2. Levels of Non-Compliance</b></p> <p><b>2.1. Level 1:</b> Not applicable.</p> <p><b>2.2. Level 2:</b> A valid assessment and corrective plan for the longer-term planning horizon is not available.</p> |                      | <p>There is no compliance section currently proposed in the Alberta Reliability Standards.</p> <p>A compliance program will be developed at a later date for Alberta Reliability Standards that recognizes the compliance monitoring and enforcement structure in Alberta.</p> <p>This approach is deemed consistent with the existing ISO Rules.</p> |



| ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-001-0 for Alberta TPL-001-AB-0<br>System Performance Under Normal Conditions |   |                      |                           |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Section   | NERC TPL-001-0  | Alberta TPL-001-AB-0 | Reason for Difference     |
|   | <p><b>2.3. Level 3:</b> Not applicable.</p> <p><b>2.4. Level 4:</b> A valid assessment and corrective plan for the near-term planning horizon is not available.</p> |                      |                           |
| <b>Regional Differences</b>   | 1. None identified.   |                      | Not applicable in Alberta |

**Appendix 1 - Transmission System Standards – Normal and Emergency Conditions**

| Category  | Contingencies  | System Limits or Impacts  |  |                      |
|---|--|---|--|----------------------|
|   | Initiating Event(s) and Contingency Element(s)   | System Stable and Both Thermal and Voltage Limits Within Applicable Rating <sup>a</sup> | Loss of Demand or Curtailed Firm Transmission Service Transfers          | Cascading            |
| <b>A</b><br>No contingencies  | All facilities in service  | Yes   | No   | No                   |
| <b>B</b><br>Event resulting in the loss of a single element                   | Single Line Ground (SLG) or 3-Phase (3Ø) fault, with normal clearing:<br>1. Generator<br>2. Transmission circuit<br>3. Transformer                     | Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes  | No <sup>b</sup><br>No <sup>b</sup><br>No <sup>b</sup><br>No <sup>b</sup> | No<br>No<br>No<br>No |
| <b>C</b><br>Event(s) resulting in the loss of two or more (multiple) elements | SLG fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> :   |   |  |                      |
|   | 1. Bus section   | Yes   | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>   | No                   |
|   | 2. Breaker (failure or internal fault)   | Yes   | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>   | No                   |
|   | SLG or 3Ø fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> , manual system adjustments, followed by another SLG or 3Ø fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> |   |  |                      |
|   | 3. Category B (B1, B2, B3, or B4) contingency, manual system adjustments, followed by another Category B (B1, B2, B3, or B4) contingency               | Yes   | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>   | No                   |
|   | Bipolar block, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> :   |   |  |                      |
|   | 4. Bipolar (dc) line fault (non 3Ø), with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> :   | Yes   | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>   | No                   |
|   | 5. Any two circuits of a multiple circuit towerline <sup>f</sup>   | Yes   | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>   | No                   |
|   | SLG fault, with delayed clearing <sup>e</sup> (stuck breaker or protection system failure)   |   |  |                      |
| 6. Generator  | Yes  | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>  | No   |                      |
| 7. Transformer  | Yes  | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>  | No   |                      |
| 8. Transmission circuit   | Yes  | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>  | No   |                      |
| 9. Bus section  | Yes  | Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>  | No   |                      |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>D<sup>d</sup></b><br/>Extreme event resulting in two or more (multiple) elements removed or cascading out of service</p> | <p>3Ø fault, with delayed clearing<sup>e</sup> (stuck breaker or protection system failure):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Generator</li> <li>2. Transmission circuit</li> <li>3. Transformer</li> <li>4. Bus section</li> </ol>  | <p>Evaluate for risks and consequences</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May involve substantial loss of customer demand and generation in a widespread area or areas</li> <li>• Portions or all of the interconnected systems may or may not achieve a new, stable operating point</li> <li>• Evaluation of these events may require joint studies with neighboring systems</li> </ul> |
|  | <p>3Ø fault, with normal clearing<sup>e</sup>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Breaker (failure or internal fault)</li> </ol>   |  |
|  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. Loss of towerline with three or more circuits</li> <li>7. All transmission lines on a common right-of-way</li> <li>8. Loss of a substation (one voltage level plus transformers)</li> <li>9. Loss of a switching station (one voltage level plus transformers)</li> <li>10. Loss of all generating units at a station</li> <li>11. Loss of a large load or major load center</li> <li>12. Failure of a fully redundant special protection system (or remedial action scheme) to operate when required</li> <li>13. Operation, partial operation, or misoperation of a fully redundant special protection system (or remedial action scheme) in response to an event or abnormal system</li> <li>14. Impact of severe power swings or oscillations from disturbances in another Regional Reliability Organization</li> </ol> |  |

- a) Applicable rating refers to the applicable normal and emergency facility thermal and voltage rating as applied by the facility owner or system voltage limit as determined and consistently applied by the ISO. Applicable ratings may include emergency ratings applicable for short durations as required to permit operating steps necessary to maintain system control. All ratings must be established by the applicable entity consistent with applicable ISO rules addressing facility ratings.
- b) Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to radial customers or some local network customers, connected to or supplied by the faulted element or by the affected area, may occur in certain areas without impacting the overall reliability of the interconnected transmission systems. To prepare for the next contingency, system adjustments are permitted, including curtailments of contracted firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission service electric power transfers.
- c) Depending on system design and expected system impacts, the controlled interruption of electric supply to customers (load shedding), the planned removal from service of certain generators, and/or the curtailment of contracted firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission service electric power transfers may be necessary to maintain the overall reliability of the interconnected transmission systems.
- d) A number of extreme contingencies that are listed under Category D and judged to be critical by the transmission planning entity(ies) will be selected for evaluation. It is not expected that all possible facility outages under each listed contingency of Category D will be evaluated.

- e) Normal clearing is when the protection system operates as designed and the fault is cleared in the time normally expected with proper functioning of the installed protection systems. Delayed clearing of a fault is due to failure of any protection system component such as a relay, circuit breaker, or current transformer, and not because of an intentional design delay.
- f) System assessments may exclude these events where multiple circuit towers are used over short distances (i.e., station entrance, river crossings) in accordance with exemption criteria.

**Proposed Terms for the ARS Glossary:**

“demand” – means:

- The rate at which electric energy is delivered to or by a system or part of a system, generally expressed in kilowatts or megawatts, at a given instant or averaged over any designated interval of time.
- The rate at which energy is being used by the customer.

“element” - means any electrical device with terminals that may be connected to other electrical devices such as a generator, transformer, circuit breaker, bus section, or transmission line. An element may be comprised of one or more components.

“facility” - means a set of electrical equipment that operates as a single bulk electric system element (i.e., a line, a generator, a shunt compensator, transformer, etc.).

“misoperation” means:

- Any failure of a protection system element to operate within the specified time when a fault or abnormal condition occurs within a zone of protection.
- Any operation for a fault not within a zone of protection (other than operation as backup protection for a fault in an adjacent zone that is not cleared within a specified time for the protection for that zone).
- Any unintentional protection system operation when no fault or other abnormal condition has occurred unrelated to on-site maintenance and testing activity.

“normal clearing” - means a protection system operates as designed and the *fault* is cleared in the time normally expected with proper functioning of the installed protection systems.

“planning assessment” - means protective relays, associated communication systems, voltage and current sensing devices, station batteries and DC control circuitry.

“protection system” - means protective relays, associated communication systems, voltage and current sensing devices, station batteries and DC control circuitry.

**Existing Defined Terms Used in this Standard:**

(As included in the ISO Rules Definitions or Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary)

- cascading\*
- contingency\*
- ISO
- load
- outage
- remedial action scheme (RAS)
- rating\*
- reliability
- reliability standard
- Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC)

---

\* Term appears in the Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms – April 2009 rules cycle.