

**ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0  
System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements**

Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
<b>Purpose</b>	System simulations and associated assessments are needed periodically to ensure that reliable systems are developed that meet specified performance requirements, with sufficient lead time and continue to be modified or upgraded as necessary to meet present and future System needs.	<u>The purpose of this reliability standard is to ensure that a reliable transmission system is planned that meets</u> specified performance requirements, with sufficient lead time. <u>The transmission system must continue to be modified or upgraded to</u> meet present and future system needs <u>by</u> periodically <u>performing system</u> simulations and associated assessments.	Change to writing style.
<b>Applicability</b>	4.1 Planning Authority 4.2 Transmission Planner	<u>This reliability standard applies to the ISO. This reliability standard applies to transmission facilities rated at 100 kV and above.</u>	To reflect Alberta responsible entities.
<b>Effective Date</b>		365 calendar days after the date of approval by the Commission.	
<b>Definitions</b>		<u>Italicized terms used in this reliability standard have the same meanings as set out in the Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms and Part 1 of the ISO Rules.</u> <sup>1</sup>	
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>R1.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each demonstrate through a valid assessment that its portion of the interconnected transmission systems is planned such that the network can be operated to supply	<b>R1</b> The ISO must demonstrate through a valid planning assessment that the AIES is planned such that the AIES can be operated to accommodate projected customer demands, supply and projected firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission services, at all	<b>Alberta Variance</b> <sup>2</sup> : <b>R1</b> Revised to include the accommodation of generation supply in accordance with Alberta legislation.

<sup>1</sup> Defined terms are not italicized in this document, but will appear in the Alberta Reliability Standards document.

<sup>2</sup> An Alberta variance is a change from the US Reliability Standard that the AESO has determined is material.

**ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0  
System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements**

Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	projected customer demands, and projected Firm (nonrecallable reserved) Transmission Services, at all demand Levels over the range of forecast system demands, under the contingency conditions as defined in Category C of Table I (attached). The controlled interruption of customer Demand, the planned removal of generators, or the Curtailment of firm (non-recallable reserved) power transfers may be necessary to meet this standard. To be valid, the Planning Authority and Transmission Planner assessments shall:	demand levels over the range of forecast system demands, under the contingency conditions as defined in Category C of Appendix 1. The controlled interruption of customer demand, the planned removal of generators, or the curtailment of firm (non-recallable reserved) power transfers may be necessary to meet this standard. To be valid, the ISO planning assessments must:	Changed passive term “shall” to “must”
Requirement	<b>R1.1.</b> Be made annually.	<b>R1.1</b> Be <del>carried out</del> annually.	
	<b>R1.2.</b> Be conducted for near-term (years one through five) and longer-term (years six through ten) planning horizons.	<b>R1.2</b> Be conducted for near term (year <del>one</del> through five) and longer term (year <del>six</del> through ten) planning horizons.	
	<b>R1.3.</b> Be supported by a current or past study and/or system simulation testing that addresses each of the following categories, showing system performance following Category C of Table 1 (multiple contingencies). The specific elements selected (from each of the following categories) for inclusion in these studies and simulations shall be acceptable to the associated Regional Reliability Organization(s).	<b>R1.3</b> Be supported by a current or past study and/or system simulation testing that addresses each of the following requirements (R1.3.1 to R1.3.1), showing system performance in accordance with Category C of Appendix 1, (multiple contingencies).	<b>R1.3</b> Did not need to have a reference to the RRO.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

Deleted:

**ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0  
System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements**

Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	<p><b>R1.3.1.</b> Be performed and evaluated only for those Category C contingencies that would produce the more severe system results or impacts. The rationale for the contingencies selected for evaluation shall be available as supporting information. An explanation of why the remaining simulations would produce less severe system results shall be available as supporting information.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.1</b> Be performed and evaluated only for those Category C contingencies that would produce the more severe system results or impacts. The rationale for the contingencies selected for evaluation <b>must</b> be available as supporting information. An explanation of why the remaining simulations would produce less severe system results <b>must</b> be available as supporting information.</p>	
	<p><b>R1.3.2.</b> Cover critical system conditions and study years as deemed appropriate by the responsible entity.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.2</b> Cover critical system conditions and study years as <b>considered necessary</b> by the <b>ISO</b>.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.2</b> The ISO is the only responsible entity.</p>
<b>Requirement</b>	<p><b>R1.3.3.</b> Be conducted annually unless changes to system conditions do not warrant such analyses.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.3</b> Be conducted annually unless changes to system conditions do not warrant such analyses.</p>	
	<p><b>R1.3.4.</b> Be conducted beyond the five-year horizon only as needed to address identified marginal conditions that may have longer lead-time solutions.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.4</b> Be conducted beyond the five year horizon only as needed to address identified marginal conditions that may have longer lead-time solutions.</p>	
	<p><b>R1.3.5.</b> Have all projected firm transfers modeled.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.5</b> Have all projected firm transfers modeled, <b>if any</b>.</p>	
	<p><b>R1.3.6.</b> Be performed and evaluated for selected demand levels over the range of forecast system demands.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.6</b> Be performed and evaluated for selected demand levels over the range of forecast system demands <b>as considered necessary by the ISO</b>.</p>	
	<p><b>R1.3.7.</b> Demonstrate that System performance meets Table 1 for Category C contingencies.</p>	<p><b>R1.3.7</b> Demonstrate that System performance meets Category C contingencies of Appendix 1.</p>	

ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0 System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements			
Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	<b>R1.3.8.</b> Include existing and planned facilities.	<b>R1.3.8</b> Include existing and planned facilities as <u>considered necessary</u> by the ISO.	<b>R1.3.8</b> To clarify the entity responsible for determining planned facilities to be included.
	<b>R1.3.9.</b> Include Reactive Power resources to ensure that adequate reactive resources are available to meet System performance.	<b>R1.3.9</b> Include reactive power resources to ensure that adequate reactive resources are available to meet system performance.	
	<b>R1.3.10.</b> Include the effects of existing and planned protection systems, including any backup or redundant systems.	<b>R1.3.10</b> Include the effects of existing and planned protection systems, including any backup or redundant systems.	
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>R1.3.11.</b> Include the effects of existing and planned control devices.	<b>R1.3.11</b> Include the effects of existing and planned control devices.	
	<b>R1.3.12.</b> Include the planned (including maintenance) outage of any bulk electric equipment (including protection systems or their components) at those Demand levels for which planned (including maintenance) outages are performed.	<b>R1.3.12</b> Include the planned (including maintenance) outage of any bulk electric equipment (including protection systems or their components) at those demand levels for which planned (including maintenance) outages are performed. This requirement applies only to BES facilities greater than 200 kV or other facilities as specified by the ISO.	<b>Alberta Variance:</b> <b>R1.3.12</b> For planned purpose, maintenance outages on 200 kV and greater only are studied with multiple outages. Excluded 138 kV due to uncertainties in planned cases and not practical.
	<b>R1.4.</b> Address any planned upgrades needed to meet the performance requirements of Category C.	<b>R1.4</b> Address any planned upgrades needed to meet the performance requirements of Category C.	
	<b>R1.5.</b> Consider all contingencies applicable to Category C.	<b>R1.5</b> Consider all contingencies applicable to Category C.	
<b>Measure</b>	<b>MR1.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall have a valid assessment and corrective plans as	The following measures correspond to the requirements identified in Section 4 of this reliability standard. For example, MR1 is the	Expanded measures

Deleted: ¶

**ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0  
System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements**

Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	<p>specified in Reliability Standard TPL-003-0_R1 and TPL-003-0_R2.</p>	<p>measure for R1. These measures will be used by the ISO in carrying out its compliance monitoring duties in accordance with ISO rule 12. The ISO may consider other data and information, including any provided by a market participant.</p> <p><b>MR1</b> The planning assessment will be valid and meet requirements in R1 through <u>the following</u> measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scope of the planning assessment identifies where area studies have been conducted in the past year. <u>It also identifies</u> area studies that have been conducted in <u>previous</u> years and are still <u>valid</u>. Where area studies have not been conducted, a plan and schedule to conduct one is included in the planning assessment.</li> <li>• The planning assessment includes time horizons as specified in R1.2</li> <li>• The planning assessment has <u>been prepared</u> within the last year.</li> <li>• A certification that the planning</li> </ul>	

ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0 System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements			
Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
		<p>assessment complies with each of the R1 technical requirements is provided and states that the planning assessment meets all requirements, identifies requirements not met, and states reasons where the requirement was not met.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary list of supporting area studies and needs identification documents is provided. The summary list includes the title and date of the study. The area studies and needs identification documents <u>are</u> provided if requested.</li> </ul>	
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>R2.</b> When system simulations indicate an inability of the systems to respond as prescribed in Reliability Standard TPL-003-0_R1, the Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each:	<b>R2</b> When system simulations indicate an inability of the systems to respond as <u>set out in R1.3.7 of this</u> reliability standard, the ISO must:	<p><b>R2</b> To clarify the entity responsible for determining planned facilities to be included.</p> <p>Changed passive term “shall” to “must”.</p>
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>R2.1.</b> Provide a written summary of its plans to achieve the required system performance as described above throughout the planning horizon:	<b>R2.1</b> Provide corrective plans to achieve the required system performance as described above throughout the planning horizon:	<b>R2.1</b> Clarify that “corrective” plans is what is being referred to. Removed written summary as corrective plans are in the documents as identified in MR2.
	<b>R2.1.1.</b> Including a schedule for implementation.	<b>R2.1.1</b> Including a schedule for implementation.	

ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0 System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements			
Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	<b>R2.1.2.</b> Including a discussion of expected required in-service dates of facilities.	<b>R2.1.2</b> Including a discussion of expected required in-service dates of facilities.	
	<b>R2.1.3.</b> Consider lead times necessary to implement plans.	<b>R2.1.3</b> Consider lead times necessary to implement plans.	
	<b>R2.2.</b> Review, in subsequent annual assessments, (where sufficient lead time exists), the continuing need for identified system facilities. Detailed implementation plans are not needed.	<b>R2.2</b> Review in subsequent annual assessments where sufficient lead time exists, the continuing need for identified system facilities. Detailed implementation plans are not needed.	
<b>Measure</b>		<p><b>MR2</b> The area studies and <u>n</u>needs <u>i</u>dentification <u>d</u>ocuments contain recommendations and projects that correct the situations where an inability of the systems to respond to requirements specified in R1 has been identified. The area studies and needs identification documents <u>are</u> provided on request.</p> <p>The area studies and needs identification documents contain the technical components as specified in R2 and its subsections.</p>	
<b>Requirement</b>	<b>R3.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each document the results of these Reliability Assessments and corrective plans and shall annually provide these to its respective NERC Regional Reliability Organization(s), as required by the Regional Reliability	<b>R3</b> The ISO <u>must</u> provide the planning assessment to WECC (as the RE) on an annual basis.	<p><b>R3.</b> To reflect Alberta responsible entities</p> <p>Changed passive term “shall” to “must”.</p>

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

**ARC TPWG Assessment and Conversion of NERC TPL-003-0 for Alberta TPL-003-AB-0  
System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements**

Section	NERC TPL-003-0	Alberta TPL-003-AB-0	Reason for Difference
	Organization.		
<b>Measure</b>	<b>M2.</b> The Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall have evidence it reported documentation of results of its reliability assessments and corrective plans per Reliability Standard TPL-003-0_R3.	<b>MR3</b> A written or email confirmation from WECC (as the RE) that it has received the planning assessment from the ISO. The confirmation includes the date <u>of when</u> the planning assessment was received and source identification information.	
<b>Procedures</b>			
<b>Compliance</b>			<p>There is no compliance section currently proposed in the Alberta Reliability Standards.</p> <p>A compliance program will be developed at a later date for Alberta Reliability Standards that recognizes the compliance monitoring and enforcement structure in Alberta.</p> <p>This approach is deemed consistent with the existing ISO Rules.</p>
<b>Regional Differences</b>			Not applicable in Alberta

Deleted: ¶  
¶

**Appendix 1 - Transmission System Standards – Normal and Emergency Conditions**

Category	Contingencies	System Limits or Impacts		
	Initiating Event(s) and Contingency Element(s)	System Stable and Both Thermal and Voltage Limits Within Applicable Rating <sup>a</sup>	Loss of Demand or Curtailed Firm Transmission Service Transfers	Cascading
<b>A</b> No contingencies	All facilities in service	Yes	No	No
<b>B</b> Event resulting in the loss of a single element	Single Line Ground (SLG) or 3-Phase (3Ø) fault, with normal clearing: 1. Generator 2. Transmission circuit 3. Transformer	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No <sup>b</sup> No <sup>b</sup> No <sup>b</sup> No <sup>b</sup>	No No No No
<b>C</b> Event(s) resulting in the loss of two or more (multiple) elements	SLG fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> : 1. Bus section 2. Breaker (failure or internal fault)	Yes Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup> Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No No
	SLG or 3Ø fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> , manual system adjustments, followed by another SLG or 3Ø fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> 3. Category B (B1, B2, B3, or B4) contingency, manual system adjustments, followed by another Category B (B1, B2, B3, or B4) contingency	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
	Bipolar block, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> :			
	4. Bipolar (dc) line fault (non 3Ø), with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> :	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
	5. Any two circuits of a multiple circuit towerline <sup>f</sup>	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
	SLG fault, with delayed clearing <sup>e</sup> (stuck breaker or protection system failure)			
	6. Generator	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
	7. Transformer	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
	8. Transmission circuit	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No
9. Bus section	Yes	Planned/ Controlled <sup>c</sup>	No	

<b>D<sup>d</sup></b> Extreme event resulting in two or more (multiple) elements removed or cascading out of service	3Ø fault, with delayed clearing <sup>e</sup> (stuck breaker or protection system failure): 1. Generator                      2. Transmission circuit 3. Transformer                    4. Bus section	Evaluate for risks and consequences <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May involve substantial loss of customer demand and generation in a widespread area or areas</li> <li>• Portions or all of the interconnected systems may or may not achieve a new, stable operating point</li> <li>• Evaluation of these events may require joint studies with neighboring systems</li> </ul>
	3Ø fault, with normal clearing <sup>e</sup> : 5. Breaker (failure or internal fault)	
	6. Loss of towerline with three or more circuits 7. All transmission lines on a common right-of -way 8. Loss of a substation (one voltage level plus transformers) 9. Loss of a switching station (one voltage level plus transformers) 10. Loss of all generating units at a station 11. Loss of a large load or major load center 12. Failure of a fully redundant special protection system (or remedial action scheme) to operate when required 13. Operation, partial operation, or misoperation of a fully redundant special protection system (or remedial action scheme) in response to an event or abnormal system 14. Impact of severe power swings or oscillations from disturbances in another Regional Reliability Organization	

- a) Applicable rating refers to the applicable normal and emergency facility thermal and voltage rating as applied by the facility owner or system voltage limit as determined and consistently applied by the ISO. Applicable ratings may include emergency ratings applicable for short durations as required to permit operating steps necessary to maintain system control. All ratings must be established by the applicable entity consistent with applicable ISO rules addressing facility ratings.
- b) Planned or controlled interruption of electric supply to radial customers or some local network customers, connected to or supplied by the faulted element or by the affected area, may occur in certain areas without impacting the overall reliability of the interconnected transmission systems. To prepare for the next contingency, system adjustments are permitted, including curtailments of contracted firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission service electric power transfers.
- c) Depending on system design and expected system impacts, the controlled interruption of electric supply to customers (load shedding), the planned removal from service of certain generators, and/or the curtailment of contracted firm (non-recallable reserved) transmission service electric power transfers may be necessary to maintain the overall reliability of the interconnected transmission systems.
- d) A number of extreme contingencies that are listed under Category D and judged to be critical by the transmission planning entity(ies) will be selected for evaluation. It is not expected that all possible facility outages under each listed contingency of Category D will be evaluated.

- e) Normal clearing is when the protection system operates as designed and the fault is cleared in the time normally expected with proper functioning of the installed protection systems. Delayed clearing of a fault is due to failure of any protection system component such as a relay, circuit breaker, or current transformer, and not because of an intentional design delay.
- f) System assessments may exclude these events where multiple circuit towers are used over short distances (i.e., station entrance, river crossings) in accordance with exemption criteria.

**Proposed Terms for the ARS Glossary:**

demand” – means:

- The rate at which electric energy is delivered to or by a system or part of a system, generally expressed in kilowatts or megawatts, at a given instant or averaged over any designated interval of time.
- The rate at which energy is being used by the customer.

“element” - means any electrical device with terminals that may be connected to other electrical devices such as a generator, transformer, circuit breaker, bus section, or transmission line. An element may be comprised of one or more components.

“facility” - means a set of electrical equipment that operates as a single bulk electric system element (i.e., a line, a generator, a shunt compensator, transformer, etc.).

“misoperation” means:

- Any failure of a protection system element to operate within the specified time when a fault or abnormal condition occurs within a zone of protection.
- Any operation for a fault not within a zone of protection (other than operation as backup protection for a fault in an adjacent zone that is not cleared within a specified time for the protection for that zone).
- Any unintentional protection system operation when no fault or other abnormal condition has occurred unrelated to on-site maintenance and testing activity.

“normal clearing” - means a protection system operates as designed and the *fault* is cleared in the time normally expected with proper functioning of the installed protection systems.

“planning assessment” - means protective relays, associated communication systems, voltage and current sensing devices, station batteries and DC control circuitry.

“protection system” - means protective relays, associated communication systems, voltage and current sensing devices, station batteries and DC control circuitry.

**Existing Defined Terms Used in this Standard:**

(As included in the ISO Rules Definitions or Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary)

- cascading\*
- contingency\*
- ISO
- load
- outage
- remedial action scheme (RAS)
- rating\*
- reliability
- reliability standard
- Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC)

---

\* Term appears in the Alberta Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms – April 2009 rules cycle.