|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Period of Comment:** | September 7, 2018 | through | September 28, 2018 | | **Comments From:** | Company Name | | | | **Date [yyyy/mm/dd]:** |  | | | | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Contact:** | Company Contact | | **Phone:** | Contact Phone Number | | **Email:** |  | |

***Please provide comments relating to the subsection of the proposed amendments to the rule in the corresponding box. Please include any views on*** ***whether the language clearly articulates the requirement for either the AESO or a market participant, and provide any proposed alternative wording by blacklining the proposed language below.***

| **Section** | **Subsection** | **Proposed language** | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Requirements**  **Equally-Priced Operating Blocks** |  |
| **2** | **(3)** | Notwithstanding subsection 2(1) and 2(2), the **ISO** must, whenissuing **dispatches** in the energy market **merit order** where there are 1 or more equally-priced **operating blocks** in an **offer** or **bid** consisting of both **source assets** and load **sink assets**, attempt to accommodate the **source assets** before issuing **dispatches** for the load **sink assets**. |  |
| **2** | **(4)** | Notwithstanding subsection 2(1), the **ISO** must:  (a) determine **dispatch** volumes for a **pool asset** that is an import asset or an export asset in accordance with the procedures set out in Section 303.3 of the **ISO rules**, *Intertie Path Operations*; and  (b) issue **dispatches** for equally priced $0.00 **offers** in accordance with Section 202.5 of the **ISO rules**, *Supply Surplus*. |  |

***Please provide your comments on the following (as set out in AUC Rule 017 s. 13(b-j)):***

| **Item #** |  | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule –Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* relates to the capacity market and why or why not |  |
| 2 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* should [or should not] be in effect for a fixed term and why or why not |  |
| 3 | whether you understand and agree with the objective or purpose of amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* and whether, in your view, Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* meets the objective or purpose |  |
| 4 | how, in your view, amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* affects the performance of the capacity market and the electricity market |  |
| 5 | your views on any analysis conducted or commissioned by the AESO supporting amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* |  |
| 6 | whether you agree with amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* taken together with all ISO rules and in light of the principle of a fair, efficient and openly competitive market |  |
| 7 | whether you would suggest any alternatives to amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, *Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices* |  |
| 8 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports ensuring a reliable supply of electricity at a reasonable cost to customers and why or why not |  |
| 9 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports the public interest and why or why not |  |

***Please provide your views on the type of content that should be included in an information document associated with amended ISO rule – Section 202.3, Issuing Dispatches for Equal Prices.***

|  |
| --- |
|  |