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***Please provide comments relating to the subsection of the proposed amendments to the rule in the corresponding box. Please include any views on*** ***whether the language clearly articulates the requirement for either the AESO or a market participant, and provide any proposed alternative wording by blacklining the proposed language below.***

| **Section** | **Subsection** | **Proposed language** | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Declaration for New Capacity** |  |
| **3** |  | A **person** must, within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* and in the manner the **ISO** specifies, submit to the **ISO** an attestation from a corporate officer as to whether an asset with the **new capacity** will:   1. permanently delist in accordance with Section 201.15, *Delisting*; or 2. continue to participate in the energy and capacity markets,   in the event that the **capacity market participant** fails to receive a **capacity commitment** for such asset in the **base auction** or **rebalancing auction**. |  |
|  |  | **Declarations for Incremental Capacity and Refurbished Capacity** |  |
| **4** | **(1)** | A **capacity market participant** must,if it has applied to provide proposed incremental capacity,submit to the **ISO**, within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* and in the manner the ISO specifies, an attestation from a corporate officer as to whether the anticipated **maximum capability** of the asset with incremental capacitywill be either:   1. the **maximum capability** of the asset had the **capacity market participant** not applied for proposed incremental capacity; or 2. remain as the anticipated **maximum capability** accounting for the proposed incremental capacity,   in the event that the **capacity market participant** fails to receive a **capacity commitment** for such asset in the **base auction** or **rebalancing auction** forsome or all of the proposedincremental capacity. |  |
| **4** | **(2)** | A **capacity market participant** must,within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* andin the manner the **ISO** specifies, submit to the **ISO** an attestation from a corporate officer as to whether an asset with refurbished capacity will:   1. permanently delist in accordance with Section 201.15 of the **ISO rules**, *Delisting*; or 2. continue to participate in the energy market and capacity market,   in the event that the **capacity market participant** fails to receive a **capacity commitment** for such asset in the **base auction** or **rebalancing auction**. |  |
|  |  | **Declaration for Load Asset** |  |
| **5** | **(1)** | A **person** must, within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* and in the manner the **ISO** specifies, declare to the **ISO** a **firm consumption level** if the **person** is seeking to have the **ISO** qualify a load asset providing a **firm consumption level** for the capacity market. |  |
| **5** | **(2)** | A **person** must, within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* and in the manner the **ISO** specifies, declare to the **ISO** a **guaranteed load reduction** if the **person** is seeking to have the **ISO** qualify a load asset providing a **guaranteed load reduction** for the capacity market. |  |
|  |  | **Declaration for Import Asset** |  |
| **6** |  | A **person** must, within the timelines prescribed by the *Capacity Market Auction Guidelines* and in the manner the **ISO** specifies, declare to the **ISO** a volume in MW from an import asset, which is less than or equal to the amount of firm transmission, that the **person** is seeking to have the **ISO** qualify for the capacity market. |  |
|  |  | **Qualification of New Capacity, Incremental Capacity and Refurbished Capacity** |  |
| **7** | **(1)** | The **ISO** must, based on the information in the application and any supporting documents provided pursuant to subsection 2, be satisfied that the asset:  (a) will be capable of providing energy to or reducing consumption from the **interconnected electric system**;  (b) has a **uniform** **capacity value** greater than or equal to 1 MW;  (c) will be:  (i) developed in accordance with a project plan and timeline that aligns with the critical milestones established by the **ISO**; and  (ii) energized and commissioned prior to the **obligation period**.  (d) is not a **source asset** that is the subject of a renewable electricity support agreement in connection with rounds 1, 2 or 3 of the Renewable Electricity Program;  (e) is not energy efficiency;  (f) in the case of a load asset:  (i) can or will be able to reduce demand during the **obligation period** in a way that is measureable by the **ISO**; and  (ii) is or will be a retail or self-retail asset;  (g) in the case of an **energy storage facility**, is or will be capable of maintaining energy production at it **uniform capacity value** for a minimum of 4 hours;  (h) in the case of an import asset:  (i) has firm transmission from the import asset to the Alberta border for the duration of the **obligation period**;  (ii) is not participating as non-recallable capacity in a resource adequacy program of another jurisdiction; and  (iii) will be curtailed on a pro-rata basis by the **balancing authority** of the jurisdiction in which the import asset is located in when load, which is firm, is curtailed.  (i) in the case of an aggregation of assets:  (i) has a **uniform capacity value** less than or equal to the **maximum capability** of the largest generating unit in Alberta multiplied by 0.85;  (ii) has or will have the appropriate metering the **ISO** specifies for each asset in the aggregation;  (iii) is comprised of assets that are either exclusively:  (A) **generating units** or **aggregated generating facilities** located within Alberta;  (B) load assetsproviding a **firm consumption level** located within Alberta; or  (C) load assets providing a **guaranteed load reduction** located within Alberta;  and,  (iv) is not comprised of any asset that will contribute capacity individually, or as part of another aggregation, to the capacity market;  (j) in the case of incremental capacity, will be retrofitted in a manner that will, in the opinion of the **ISO**, increase the **maximum capability** of the asset by an amount in MW that is:  (i) greater than or equal to 1 MW; and  (ii) less than or equal to the greater of:  (A) 15% of the asset’s **maximum capability**; or  (B) 40 MW above the asset’s **maximum capability**.  (k) in the case of refurbished capacity, will be retrofitted in a manner that will, in the opinion of the **ISO**, result in either:  (i) an increase in the asset’s **maximum capability** by an amount exceeding the greater of:  (A) 15% of the asset’s **maximum capability**; or  (B) 40 MW above the asset’s **maximum capability**; or  (ii) a capital investment of greater than or equal to $200 per kW of the asset’s current **maximum capability** multiplied by a capital cost escalation rate that is specified by the **ISO**. |  |

***Please provide your comments on the following (as set out in AUC Rule 017 s. 13(b-j)):***

| **Item #** |  | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule –*Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* relates to the capacity market and why or why not |  |
| 2 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* should [or should not] be in effect for a fixed term and why or why not |  |
| 3 | whether you understand and agree with the objective or purpose of amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* and whether, in your view, *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* meets the objective or purpose |  |
| 4 | how, in your view, amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* affects the performance of the capacity market and the electricity market |  |
| 5 | your views on any analysis conducted or commissioned by the AESO supporting amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* |  |
| 6 | whether you agree with amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* taken together with all ISO rules and in light of the principle of a fair, efficient and openly competitive market |  |
| 7 | whether you would suggest any alternatives to amended ISO rule – *Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity* |  |
| 8 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports ensuring a reliable supply of electricity at a reasonable cost to customers and why or why not |  |
| 9 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports the public interest and why or why not |  |

***Please provide your views on the type of content that should be included in an information document associated with amended ISO rule – Section 206.1, Qualification of Capacity.***

|  |
| --- |
|  |