|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Period of Comment:** | September 7, 2018 | through | September 28, 2018 | | **Comments From:** | Company Name | | | | **Date [yyyy/mm/dd]:** |  | | | | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Contact:** | Company Contact | | **Phone:** | Contact Phone Number | | **Email:** |  | |

***Please provide comments relating to the subsection of the proposed amendments to the rule in the corresponding box. Please include any views on*** ***whether the language clearly articulates the requirement for either the AESO or a market participant, and provide any proposed alternative wording by blacklining the proposed language below.***

| **Section** | **Subsection** | **Proposed language** | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Bid Content |  |
| **7** | **(1)** | A **capacity market participant** with a **capacity commitment** may submit a **bid** in a **rebalancing auction**:   1. for a quantity in MW that is equal to or greater than 1 MW and less than or equal to the **capacity commitment**; 2. that is priced at a price in $/kW-year to the nearest cent per kW-year which is greater than or equal to $0/kW-year; and 3. less than or equal to the maximum price established by the final demand curve. |  |
| **7** | **(2)** | A **capacity market participant** with a **capacity commitment** must submit a **bid**,priced at $0.01/kW-year above the maximum price established by the demand curve, in accordance with the following:  (a) if the asset’s assigned **uniform capacity value** for the final **rebalancing auction** is lower than its **capacity commitment**, the **capacity market participant** must submit a **bid** for the difference between the **capacity commitment** and the assigned **uniform capacity value**;  (b) if the **ISO** determines that the **capacity market participant** has missed a critical milestone subject to Section 206.5 of the **ISO rules**, *Forward Period Milestone Requirements*, the **capacity market participant** must submit a **bid** equal to its entire **capacity commitment** in the applicable **rebalancing auction**; or  (c) if the **ISO** determines for a load asset that the **capacity market participant** has not met the milestone set out in Section 206.5 of the **ISO rules**, *Forward Period Milestone Requirements*, then that **capacity market participant** must submit a **bid** for the difference between the **capacity commitment** and the assigned **uniform capacity value** in the final **rebalancing auction**. |  |

***Please provide your comments on this rule’s appendices:***

|  |
| --- |
|  |

***Please provide your comments on the following (as set out in AUC Rule 017 s. 13(b-j)):***

| **Item #** |  | **Stakeholder comments** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* relates to the capacity market and why or why not |  |
| 2 | whether you agree that amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* should [or should not] be in effect for a fixed term and why or why not |  |
| 3 | whether you understand and agree with the objective or purpose of amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* and whether, in your view, *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* meets the objective or purpose |  |
| 4 | how, in your view, amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* affects the performance of the capacity market and the electricity market |  |
| 5 | your views on any analysis conducted or commissioned by the AESO supporting amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* |  |
| 6 | whether you agree with amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* taken together with all ISO rules and in light of the principle of a fair, efficient and openly competitive market |  |
| 7 | whether you would suggest any alternatives to amended ISO rule – *Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity* |  |
| 8 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports ensuring a reliable supply of electricity at a reasonable cost to customers and why or why not |  |
| 9 | whether you agree that the proposed provisional rule supports the public interest and why or why not |  |

***Please provide your views on the type of content that should be included in an information document associated with amended ISO rule – Section 206.4, Offers and Bids for Capacity.***

|  |
| --- |
|  |