

# Comprehensive Market Design Stakeholder Comment Matrix

## Technical Working Group *DRAFT (final to be provided post session)*

The AESO is requesting written feedback from Comprehensive Market Design (CMD) working group members about the content of the first draft Comprehensive Market Design (CMD 1) and about the working group session in which CMD 1 was discussed. This draft comment matrix is provided in advance to help working group members prepare for their upcoming session. Following the working group session, the AESO will post a **final comment matrix** one (1) day after the session. This final comment matrix should be completed by working group members within four (4) business days. The final feedback matrix is intended for working group members to provide written feedback about CMD 1 or the content of their working group session that is within the scope of their working group.

The AESO will post all comment matrices and any other feedback received from working group members on [www.aeso.ca](http://www.aeso.ca) and on the Capacity Market SharePoint site. **Please note that the names of the parties submitting each completed comment matrix will be included in this posting.** The AESO does not intend to respond to individual submissions.

If you have any questions about this comment matrix, please email [capacitymarket@aeso.ca](mailto:capacitymarket@aeso.ca)

**Name:** Click or tap here to enter text. **Organization:** Click or tap here to enter text.

**Date:** Click or tap here to enter text.

CMD Key Design Questions	Comments and / or Recommendations
1. UCAP: Can you support the availability factor over the 100 hours of smallest supply cushion being used to calculate the UCAP for thermal resources and large hydro?	
2. UCAP: Can you support the capacity factor over 100 hours of smallest supply cushion being used to calculate the UCAP for variable resources, self-supply and interties?	
3. UCAP: Do you see any data issues beyond those identified by the AESO?	
4. Demand Curve: Do you have any feedback on the material presented in the CMD 1?  Note: AESO and the WG will revisit the shape of the demand curve once draft outputs from the Resource Adequacy model are available.	
5. Load Forecast: Can you support the proposed approach to forecast load? Are there any outstanding comments or concerns with the proposed approach?	
6. Resource Adequacy Modeling: Please identify what specific information AESO should provide to the workgroup to ensure the required level of transparency and detail to test the reasonableness of the resource adequacy model results?	

CMD Key Design Questions	Comments and / or Recommendations
7. CONE: Can you support the intended Gross CONE estimation approach?	
8. CONE: What are the important considerations AESO needs to take into account when selecting the Energy and Ancillary Service offset estimation methodology?	
9. CONE: Are there any issues or gaps in our considerations or plan in Net CONE estimation?	

<b>General Comments: Any comments on relevant scope areas of the CMD that are not addressed above</b>