

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – March 10, 2020 - extended

Participant-Related Costs for DFOs (Substation Fraction) and DFO Cost Flow-Through
Technical Session (1)



Period of Comment: March 10, 2020 through March 31, 2020 Comments From: ENMAX Power Corporation Date: [2020/03/31]	Contact: [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED] Email: [REDACTED]
---	--

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.
3. Email your completed comment matrix to tariffdesign@aeso.ca by **March 31, 2020**.

The AESO is seeking comments from Stakeholders with regard to the following matters:

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
1.	Please comment on the Technical Session 1 facilitated by the AESO on Feb. 27, 2020. Was the session valuable? Was there something we could have done to make the session more helpful? Please advise and be as specific as possible.	<p>Technical Session 1 was informative as it provided an opportunity for parties to hear the varied perspectives of a cross-section of industry stakeholders. The interactive breakout discussions were especially valuable.</p> <p>It would have been helpful to spend additional time on the definition of terms at the outset of the session as there appeared to be confusion throughout the presentation from participants.</p>
2.	Please comment on the Technical Session 1 Summary Feb. 27 2020 . Is there information you felt was covered during the session that has not been represented in the summary? If yes, please advise and be as specific as possible.	The summary appears accurate.
3.	Please comment on the additional level-setting information provided in Summary of Level-setting Information document. Do you have additional clarifying questions that need to be answered to support your understanding? If yes,	During FortisAlberta’s Presentation, the speaker encouraged the AESO to research what other jurisdictions are doing, which would help identify potential alternatives to the substation fractioning methodology. Does the AESO intend on conducting a jurisdictional review and reporting back to the group with their findings?

	<p>please be as specific as possible.</p>	
<p>4.</p>	<p>Please comment on the revised high-level principles provided in the Summary of Level-setting Information document. Do you have additional clarifying questions that need to be answered to support your understanding? If yes, please be as specific as possible.</p> <p>Do you have additional principles that you feel have not been represented by these high-level principles? If yes, please be as specific as possible and provide the gaps/challenges you are trying to address with the additional principles.</p>	<p>Page 18 has the comment “Ensure consideration of generation displacing load, or otherwise increasing load capacity at a substation previously not possible (or problematic) without generation present.” EPC understands that DFOs will not reduce a DTS contract when a DCG connects to a substation. A DFO cannot rely on DCG to offset the substation’s peak load as it has no control of when generation will occur. Consequently, a DFO is unable to increase its load capacity at a substation due to generation displacing load.</p> <p>The current connection process lacks transparency. Transparency of the overall process as well as the costs that would be allocated to a DCG (both current and/or future) should be known and understood ahead of a project connecting to the system.</p>
<p>5.</p>	<p>Additional comments</p>	<p>The AESO indicated it is currently undertaking a comprehensive review of the bulk and regional tariff design. It will be important to consider any potential outcomes from the technical sessions on the substation fraction methodology and potential DFO cost-flow through mechanisms and whether they will align with the future tariff framework.</p>

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: tariffdesign@aeso.ca.