Comprehensive Market Design Working Group Member Comment Matrix Energy and Ancillary Services Working Group *FINAL*



Please complete this matrix by April 18, 2018, and upload it to the <u>"Feedback" folder</u> for the April 4 session on the CMD SharePoint site. The AESO will post all comment matrices received from working group members on <u>www.aeso.ca</u>. Please note that the names of the parties submitting each completed comment matrix will be included in this posting. The AESO does not intend to respond to individual submissions. If you have any questions about this comment matrix, please email <u>capacitymarket@aeso.ca</u>

Page 1 Public



Name: Click or tap here to enter text. Organization: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.

CMD Key Design Questions	Comments and / or Recommendations
Pricing – any unintended consequences with maintaining pricing methodology as proposed a. No change in dispatch protocol, setting marginal SMP as dispatched even during ramp b. Proposed change to shorter settlement	
Pricing – any comment on range of options reviewed for shorter settlement	
Flexibility a. Any comment on dispatch tolerance / ramp material as presented including range of options for dispatch certainty. b. Any input on ramp product material as presented	
4. Energy Market ex ante Mitigation: a. Pivotal Supplier Test: Are there any issues with the revised formula for the Residual Supplier Index (RSI)? b. Are there any issues associated with the voluntary submission of physical obligation data to the AESO for pre-approval and inclusion in the RSI formula? c. In light of the proposed no-look scarcity screen, should the AESO revise the RSI threshold to 1.0 from 0.9?	

Page 2 Public



		awi
CMD Key Design Questions	Comments and / or Recommendations	
d. No-look Scarcity Test: Are there ar		
with the principle that when the mark		
sufficiently tight there should be no r	₹	
e. Are there any issues with the use of	500 MW	
as the level for this test?		
f. Reference Price: Are there any issu		
the principle that the Reference Price		
set so to ensure that a prudent firm or recover its operating costs from the		
market?	петду	
g. Are there any issues with the analys	s that	
suggests setting the Reference Price		
thermal generators at three times the		
run marginal cost?		
h. Are there any issues with setting a m	inimum	
Reference Price at \$25/MWh under	all	
circumstances?		
 i. Opportunity cost: Are there any iss 	ues with	
using an opportunity cost-based app		
determining the Reference Price for	ion-	
thermal resources?		
j. Are there any issues with the AESO		
preference to adopt a formula-based		
calculation of opportunity cost for no	1-tnermal	
resources? k. What alternative approaches to the o	alculation	
of opportunity cost / Reference Price		
thermal resources should the AESO		
I. Timeline : Are there any issues with		
look Scarcity Test being run at T-2?		
any issues associated with the RSI b		
immediately thereafter? How should		
participants be informed that the No-	look	

Page 3 Public

CMD Key Design Questions	Comments and / or Recommendations
Scarcity Test has been failed at the market-level or specific market participants be informed that they have failed the RSI test? m. Offer Control: Are there any issues with capacity-committed loads having to declare offer control? Are there any issues with the requirement that offer control information be provided earlier than the current rule? Are there any issues with specifying the firm-specific offer control of offers with multiple parties? n. Reporting: What information should the AESO make public about the extent to which mitigation has occurred in a given hour?	
 5. Roadmap – a. Any issues with 2021 requirements as noted. b. Further discussion on flexibility roadmap items at next meeting. Any input for consideration? 	

General Comments: Any comments on relevant scope areas of the CMD that are not addressed above

Page 4 Public