

Stakeholder Comment Matrix – April 23, 2020

Participant-Related Costs for DFOs (Substation Fraction) and DFO Cost Flow-Through
 Technical Session 2A



Period of Comment: April 23, 2020 through April 30, 2020 Comments From: Lionstooth Energy Date: 2020/04/30	Contact: [REDACTED] Phone: Email: [REDACTED]
---	---

Instructions:

1. Please fill out the section above as indicated.
2. Please respond to the questions below and provide your specific comments.
3. Email your completed comment matrix to tariffdesign@aeso.ca by **April 30, 2020**.

The AESO is seeking comments from Stakeholders with regard to the following matters:

	Questions	Stakeholder Comments
1.	Please comment on the proposed agenda	<p>Session Purpose: Session 2A includes continuation of common understanding and review of proposed changes to high-level principles. Lionstooth assumes all this effort is intended to make the eventual report to the AUC less contentious. We support these efforts, noting that we should, hopefully, be spending less and less time on these issues as they become commonly understood.</p> <p>Proposal Presentations: Fully recognizing the AESO’s efforts to avoid a full day session which is challenging under working from home conditions, 20 minutes is not enough time to even quickly walk through just the proposal. The proposal guidance document asked presenters to include principle discussion, the proposal, and implications. We are concerned that shorter presentation timelines may impact stakeholders understanding of each presenters position, potentially confusing the issues.</p> <p>We have a couple thoughts on how to extend the presentation timelines:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other AESO webinars have been ending sooner than expected. Perhaps the AESO’s 1 hour introduction, is really only going to talk ~45 mins? • Has the AESO confirmed they have 5 presenters? If fewer, we would

		<p>recommend allocating that additional time over individual presentation timelines, instead of shortening the session. If more, this may need to be a full day session.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An additional 10 mins per 5 presenters is just under 1 more hour. This would have the session run from 8 – 1:30pm. Not ideal to run through lunch, but 2 – 10 min breaks, could work.
2.	<p>Please comment on the proposed process and session overviews as indicated in the Letter of Notice</p>	<p>Lionstooth supports the concept of splitting the sessions into 2A and 2B. As noted above, running a little longer than half-day may be needed.</p> <p>The timing between 2A and 2B may not be long enough, especially as stakeholders comments are due 20-May, which we understand would be the topic of discussion for Session 2B, five business days later.</p> <p>We propose this timing is revisited following Session 2A and based on the comments from stakeholders on 20-May.</p> <p>Considering that this process includes a return to an AUC Proceeding, where all parties benefit from alignment, the resolution of this issue may not be fast, so it might as well be right.</p>
3.	<p>Additional comments</p>	<p>None.</p>

Thank you for your input. Please email your comments to: tariffdesign@aeso.ca.