



Date of Request for Comment:	<u>December 2, 2020</u>
Period of Comment:	<u>December 2, 2020</u> through <u>January 8, 2021</u>

*Please provide your comments on the following:*

Item #		Stakeholder comments	AESO Replies
1	Whether you understand and agree with the objective or purpose of the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions and whether, in your view, the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions meet the objective or purpose.	<p><b><u>AltaLink Management Ltd. (“AltaLink”)</u></b> AltaLink understands and is in agreement with the proposed final draft defined terms and definitions.</p>	The AESO acknowledges AltaLink’s comment.
		<p><b><u>ATCO Electric Ltd. (“ATCO”)</u></b> No comment</p>	
		<p><b><u>EPCOR Distribution &amp; Transmission Inc. (“EDTI”)</u></b> EDTI understands and agrees with the purpose of the proposed final draft of Section 502.10 terms and definitions.</p>	The AESO acknowledges EDTI’s comment.
		<p><b><u>FortisAlberta Inc. (“FortisAlberta”)</u></b> FortisAlberta understands and agrees.</p>	The AESO acknowledges FortisAlberta’s comment.
2	Whether you agree that the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions are not technically deficient, and if not, why.	<p><b><u>AltaLink</u></b> AltaLink is in agreement the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions are technically efficient.</p>	The AESO is assuming AltaLink intended their comment to be agreement that the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions are <u>not</u> technically deficient.
		<p><b><u>ATCO</u></b> 1. ATCO suggests replacing “manipulate” with “establish” in the “<b>measurement point definition record</b>” definition. The word “manipulate” has a negative connotation. Also, Measurement Canada uses the word “establish”</p>	The AESO is in agreement that the term manipulate has a negative connotation. The AESO has revised the “measurement point definition record” definition to align with the draft definition proposed by the

Item #		Stakeholder comments	AESO Replies
		<p>in their specifications (i.e. GEN-25, E-31, Complex Metering Implementation).</p> <p><b>“measurement point definition record”</b> means a specification that defined the physical arrangement of a <b>revenue metering system</b> as well as any algorithms used to <b>manipulate establish</b> the interval data associated with a <b>metering point</b> to produce the interval data used for financial settlement with the ISO.</p>	<p>AESO in November 2019. Including the word manipulate in this version was an error.</p> <p><b>“measurement point definition record”</b> means a specification that defines the physical arrangement of a <b>revenue metering system</b> as well as any algorithms used to <b>manipulate adjust</b> the interval data associated with a <b>metering point</b> to produce the interval data used for financial settlement with the <b>ISO</b>.</p>
		<p>2. The <b>“metered demand”</b> definition should include distributed generation, Micro-generation, and interchange points where these are typically connected to the distribution system. ATCO suggests removing reference to the transmission as shown below. It is also consistent with the <b>“metered energy”</b> definition.</p> <p><b>“metered demand”</b> means the rate, in MW, at which electric energy <b>is transferred to or from the transmission system, as</b> measured by the relevant metering equipment and averaged over a 15-minute or other interval as deemed necessary by the <b>ISO</b>.</p>	<p>The AESO disagrees. “metered demand” is a term specifically for DTS and certain direct connect customers in the ISO tariff. The proposed definition for “metered demand” aligns with its use in the ISO tariff. Additionally, distributed generation are not billed or compensated based on “metered demand” therefore the AESO does not agree that it is appropriate to include distributed generation in the definition.</p>
		<p>3. <b>“active energy”</b> is a defined term and definition, so “reactive energy” should also be a defined term and definition. Should they be defined in the terms and definitions?</p> <p><b>“active Energy”</b> is not a currently defined term in the CADG (Consolidated Authoritative Document Glossary). Also, the definition of this defined term is not included in this document so we are unsure of its meaning.</p>	<p>The AESO agrees that the term “active energy” is not a defined term in the AESO’s <i>Consolidated Authoritative Document Glossary</i> (“CADG”). It was a typographical error to bold this term in the proposed definition for “metering point” and the definition of “meter” being proposed to be removed from the CADG. The AESO has corrected this error.</p>

Item #		Stakeholder comments	AESO Replies
		<p><b><u>EDTI</u></b> EDTI agrees that the proposed final draft of Section 502.10 terms and definitions is not technically deficient.</p>	The AESO acknowledges EDTI's comment.
		<p><b><u>FortisAlberta</u></b> FortisAlberta agrees.</p>	The AESO acknowledges FortisAlberta's comment.
3	Whether you agree that the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions, taken together with all ISO rules, supports a fair, efficient and openly competitive market, and if not, why.	<p><b><u>AltaLink</u></b> AltaLink is in agreement the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions is fair and efficient for an openly competitive market.</p>	The AESO acknowledges AltaLink's comment.
		<p><b><u>ATCO</u></b> No comment</p>	
		<p><b><u>EDTI</u></b> EDTI agrees the proposed final draft of Section 502.10 terms and definitions supports a fair, efficient and openly competitive market.</p>	The AESO acknowledges EDTI's comment.
		<p><b><u>FortisAlberta</u></b> FortisAlberta agrees.</p>	The AESO acknowledges FortisAlberta's comment.
4	Whether you agree that proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions support the public interest, and if not, why.	<p><b><u>AltaLink</u></b> AltaLink is in agreement the proposed final draft Section 502.10 terms and definitions support the interest of the public.</p>	The AESO acknowledges AltaLink's comment.
		<p><b><u>ATCO</u></b> No comment</p>	

Item #		Stakeholder comments	AESO Replies
		<p><b><u>EDTI</u></b> EDTI agrees the proposed final draft of Section 502.10 terms and definitions supports public interest.</p>	<p>The AESO acknowledges EDTI's comment.</p>
		<p><b><u>FortisAlberta</u></b> FortisAlberta agrees.</p>	<p>The AESO acknowledges FortisAlberta's comment.</p>
5	Any additional comments regarding proposed new Section 502.10 terms and definitions.	<p><b><u>AltaLink</u></b> AltaLink has no additional comments.</p>	
		<p><b><u>ATCO</u></b> No comment</p>	
		<p><b><u>EDTI</u></b> No additional comments.</p>	
		<p><b><u>FortisAlberta</u></b> FortisAlberta has no further comments other than those already provided throughout this engagement.</p>	